

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

- (a) No. and name of Assembly Constituency: 016-Kamareddy Assembly Constituency
 (b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 09
 (c) State and District: Andhra Pradesh - Nizamabad
 (d) Date of declaration of result of bye-election: 21-03-2012
 (e) Last date of lodging accounts: 20-04-2012
 (f) Name of the elected candidate: Sri. Gampa Govardhan (TRS)

1 S. No	2 Name of the candidate	3 Due date of lodging of account	4 Date of lodging of account by the Candidate	5 Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes/No)	6 Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes/No)	7 Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in part III Abstract Statement) (In Rs.)	8 Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure.	9 Total expenses incurred by the party (As reported in part III of Abstract Statement.		10 Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in part III of Abstract Statement.		11 Remarks of the Expenditure Observer.
								Lump sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political party (In Rs.)	Grand total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump Sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate and mention names	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Sri. K. Yadagiri (Rajyadhikara Party)	20.4.12	13.4.12	Yes	Yes	1,20,536	Yes	-	-	-	-	
2	Sri. T. Rajanarsaiah (Independent)	20.4.12	13.4.12	Yes	Yes	78,440	No	-	-	-	-	Vide his reply to Show Cause Notice dt: 13.04.2012, the candidate has given following reasons for discrepancy i) He has not paid any rent to the mikes permitted by DSP and he has not used the mikes. ii) The vehicles bearing No. 9255 and 6095 were arranged by his friends and the expenditure is not shown by him. iii) The hire charges to other permitted vehicles were paid by him as per the agreed rate which is less than the rate given in notice. The DEO has not accepted the candidate's explanation on all the above points. In absence of strong and verifiable supporting evidences in favour of the candidate's arguments, agreed with the DEO's estimate. Since total amount of Rs.78,440/- have been spent by the candidate in cash, the candidate's arguments do not stand on strong footing.
3	Sri. Gampa Govardhan (TRS)	20.4.12	17.4.12	Yes	Yes	4,33,234	No	16,00,000	-	-	-	Vide his replies to the show cause notices dated 09.03.2012, 15.03.2012 and 13.04.2012, the candidate has given the following reasons for discrepancy. i) He has not conducted any meetings and rallies before the date of nomination. Telangana People were organized with their own expenses and it was not related to the candidate.

												<p>ii) ii) The unauthorized vehicles bearing No. (a) 123 (Scorpio), (b) 1309 (Scorpio), (C) 1617 (Innova) and (d) 6666 (Scorpio) pertains to listed star campaigners, Sri. E. Ravinder Reddy, Sri. Mahmood Ali and Sri. K. Taraka Rama Rao.</p> <p>iii) Tariff rate of hired vehicles shown in his account as per the mutual agreement with the travels.</p> <p>iv) The campaign material i.e., banners, flags, caps etc, were received from their state party office.</p> <p>v) The candidate himself or on behalf of TRS party were not engaged the live telecast in channel 'T' news and he has no intimation which channel have participated in the said programme.</p> <p>The DEO agreed with the candidates reply item shown at para (ii) above and deducted related amount of Rs. 4500/- from the total estimated cost. Hence the total understated amount after considering the reply is Rs. 9,60,873/-</p> <p>The DEO has not accepted the candidate's explanation on all above points except para (ii) above. The candidate has not shown the expenditure towards banners, flags, caps etc., said to have been received from their stat party office in column No. 7 of part A of Annexure-14 pertains to political party account and he has not submitted the bills. The reply given by candidate towards live telecast of visit of state party leader does not appear to be convincing and it is considered as paid news and included as the estimated cost of Rs. 8,10,000/-. In absence of strong and verifiable supporting evidences in favour of the candidates arguments. agree with the DEO's estimate of candidate expenditure. Since Rs. 3,16,834/- have been spent by the candidate in cash out of the total expenditure of Rs. 4,33,234/-, the candidate's arguments do not stand on strong footing.</p>
4	Sri. P. Sridhar (independent)	20.4.12	17.4.12	Yes	Yes	1,07,239	No	-	-	20,000	-	<p>Vide his reply to the show cause notices dated 10.03.2012 and 15.03.2012, the candidate has given reasons for discrepancy that the vehicle hire charges of permitted vehicles were shown in candidate register and the same may be considered.</p> <p>The candidate has not given any reply to the discrepancy pointed out towards the mic rent and un-authorized vehicle.</p> <p>The DEO has not accepted the candidate's explanation. In absence of strong and verifiable supporting evidences in favour of the candidate's arguments, agreed with the DEO's estimate. Since the total amount of Rs.1,07,239/- have been spent by the candidate in cash, the candidate's arguments do not stand on strong footing.</p>
5	Sri. S. Sattaiah (Independent)	20.4.12	19.4.12	Yes	Yes	1,01,489	No	-	-	-	-	<p>The Discrepancy has not been explained by the candidate. Hence agreed with the DEO's estimate.</p>
6	Sri. Venugopal Rao N (TDP)	20.4.12	20.4.12	Yes	Yes	3,07,523	No	-	-	-	-	<p>Vide his replies to the show cause notices dated 10.03.2012 and 15.03.2012 and 20.04.2012, the candidate has given the following reasons for discrepancy.</p> <p>(i) The bills towards advertisements published in print media, amounting to Rs. 1,19,460/- was appears to be made by his followers and</p>

